EDITORIAL COMMENTARY

Dual mobility acetabular components for revision THA

Michael B. Cross

Published online: 21 February 2015

© The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Recurrent instability after primary and revision total hip arthroplasty (THA) is a disastrous complication for the surgeon and the patient. Dislocation after revision total hip arthroplasty has been reported to be as high as 20 % in some series [1]. Patients who suffer from recurrent dislocations are challenging because historical treatment options, including constrained liners, have had disappointing results [2]. Dual mobility acetabular cups were initially introduced to reduce dislocation rates after primary total hip arthoplasty [3]. While dual mobility acetabular components have been shown to improve stability in primary THA, few studies have examined the outcomes of dual mobility bearings in revision THA for persistent dislocation [4].

The current study by van Heumen et al. [5] was a retrospective cohort study with 49 consecutive patients (50 hips) that underwent an isolated acetabular revision with a dual mobility cup (Avantage; Biomet, Warsaw, IN, USA) for recurrent instability with an average follow-up of 29 months (12-66 months) [3]. The cohort of patients was challenging, as 30 patients (60 %) had more than two surgeries. However, despite a challenging cohort of patients, no post-operative dislocations occurred during follow-up period; however, three hips were revised, most commonly for infection. Overall, the survival rate for dislocation after 56 months was 100 % and 93 % for all cause revision. Although this study does not have long-term follow-up results or any functional outcome data, it does demonstrate excellent 5 year survival rate with a dual mobility cup in revision THA for recurrent instability.

M. B. Cross (⊠)

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Hospital for Special Surgery, 535 East 70th Street, New York, NY 10021, USA e-mail: crossm@hss.edu

impressive than van Heuman et al. and Mohammed et al. In this challenging group of patients, I have seen patients who still suffer from recurrent dislocations, despite using a dual mobility bearing. I also have seen intraprosthetic dissociation, particularly using smaller head sizes (e.g., 22 mm) and when the implant company of the femur differs from the implant company of the dual mobility acetabular component. Still, the current study highlights that a dual mobility bearing may be a great option for patients who require revision for recurrent instability. However, it goes without saying that this remains a very challenging group of patients, and using a dual mobility bearing seems to improve the risk of dislocation compared to historical treatment options but the risk is not entirely eliminated.

While I commonly use dual mobility bearings for pa-

tients with a high risk of dislocation and for revisions for

recurrent instability, my personal results have been less

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- van der Grinten M, Verhaar JAN (2003) Dislocation of total hip prostheses: risk factors and treatment. Ned Tijdschr Gen 147:286–290
- Della Valle CJ, Chang D, Sporer S, Berger RA, Rosenberg AG, Paprosky WG (2005) High failure rate of a constrained acetabular liner in revision total hip arthroplasty. J Arthroplast 20:103–107
- Philippot R, Adam P, Reckhaus M, Delangle F, Verdot FX, Curval G, Farizon F (2009) Prevention of dislocation in total hip revision surgery using a dual mobility design. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 95:407–413
- 4. Mohammed R, Hayward K, Mulay S, Bindi F, Wallace M (2014) Outcomes of dual-mobility acetabular cup for instability in



- primary and revision total hip arthroplasty. J Orthopaed Traumatol. doi: 10.1007/s10195-014-0324-9
- van Heumen M, Heesterbeek PJC, Swierstra BA, Van Hellemondt GG, Goosen JHM (2014) Dual mobility acetabular component in

revision total hip arthroplasty for persistent dislocation: no dislocations in 50 hips after 1–5 years. J Orthopaed Traumatol. $\label{eq:dislocation} \mbox{doi:} 10.1007/s10195-014-0318-7$

