# **BRIEF COMMUNICATION**

**Open Access** 

# Factors associated with mortality after proximal femoral fracture



Nike Walter<sup>1,2†</sup>, Dominik Szymski<sup>1†</sup>, Steve Kurtz<sup>3</sup>, Volker Alt<sup>1</sup>, David W. Lowenberg<sup>4</sup>, Edmund Lau<sup>5</sup> and Markus Rupp<sup>1\*</sup>

# Abstract

Proximal femoral fractures are a serious complication, especially for elderly patients. Therefore, we have aimed to answer the following research question: What is the postfracture mortality rate in the elderly population and what are associated risk factors? For this, proximal femoral fractures that occurred between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2019 were identified from the Medicare Physician Service Records database. The Kaplan–Meier (KM) method with the Fine and Gray subdistribution adaptation was used to determine rates of mortality. A semiparametric Cox regression model was applied, incorporating 23 measures as covariates to identify risk factors. The estimated 1 year mortality rate was 26.8% after head/neck fracture, 28.2% after intertrochanteric fracture, and 24.2% after subtrochanteric fracture. Male sex, age over 70 years, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cerebrovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, a concomitant fracture, congestive heart failure, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, insulin use, ischemic heart disease, morbid obesity, osteoporosis, tobacco dependence, and median household income were determined as risk factors for increased mortality. An early assessment of individual risk factors accessible for therapeutic treatment is crucial in the management of proximal femur fractures to aid in attempts at reducing the high mortality apparent in the elderly US population.

Keywords Proximal femur fractures, Mortality rate, Risk factors

# Introduction

Proximal femoral fractures are one of the most common fractures. Projections anticipate a prevalence of up to 21.3 million annually cases worldwide in the year 2050 [1]. In particularly for elderly patients, these types

<sup>†</sup>Nike Walter and Dominik Szymski share the first authorship.

\*Correspondence:

markus.rupp@ukr.de



# Methods

Proximal femur fractures that occurred between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2019 in patients  $\geq$  65 years were identified from the Medicare Physician Service Records database. The 5% sample of Medicare beneficiaries, equivalent to the records from approximately 2.5 million enrollees formed the basis of this study. The International Classification of Diseases Ninth and Tenth Revisions, were used to identify femur fractures from these physician records. Fractures were grouped into head/neck, intertrochanteric, and subtrochanteric fractures. Postfracture mortality risk was investigated as the outcome. Mortality was identified from the Medicare



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Markus Rupp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Trauma Surgery, University Medical Center Regensburg, Franz-Josef-Strauß-Allee 11, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Psychosomatic Medicine, University Medical Center

Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany <sup>3</sup> Implant Research Core, School of Biomedical Engineering, Science

and Health Systems, Drexel University, Philadelphia, USA <sup>4</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Stanford School of Medicine,

Stanford, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Exponent Inc., Palo Alto, USA

enrollment data, provided by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. For mortality comparison, Medicare enrollees with no femur fracture but of the same 5 year age group, sex, and resident county area was used. The Kaplan–Meier (KM) method with the Fine and Gray subdistribution adaptation was used to calculate mortality rates. We also used semiparametric Cox regression with competing risk correction to investigate risk factors. The Cox models incorporated demographic, clinical, and several community-level socioeconomic measures as covariates. All data processing and statistical analyses were performed using SAS statistical software (version 9.4, Cary, NC) and significance was determined at  $\alpha$ =0.05.

# Results

After 1 year, 73.2% (95% CI 72.7–73.6%) of head/neck fracture patients, 71.8% (95% CI 71.1–72.4%) of the intertrochanteric fracture patients, and 75.8% (95% CI 74.1– 77.3%) of the subtrochanteric fracture patients survived, whereas after 11 years, 11.2% (95% CI 10.1–12.3%) of head/neck fracture patients, 9.8% (95% CI 8.6–11.0%) of the intertrochanteric fracture patients, and 13.2% (95% CI 10.2–16.5%) of the subtrochanteric fracture patients were still alive. In comparison with Medicare patients without a femur fracture, a mean survival difference of -26.09% was observed for head/neck fracture cases. For intertrochanteric fracture patients the difference was -26.6% on average and 2–4.6% for subtrochanteric fracture fracture (Fig. 1).

The mortality risk was higher after intertrochanteric fractures compared with head/neck fracture (HR=1.04, 95% CI 1.03–1.05, p < 0.001) as well as subtrochanteric fractures (HR=1.12, 95% CI 1.09–1.15, p < 0.001). Mortality risk was lower in women than in men (HR = 0.73, 95% CI 0.72–0.84,  $\chi^2 = 1059.4$ , p < 0.001). Further, risk of death was increased in patients aged 70–74 years (HR=1.08, 95% CI 1.03–1.14,  $\chi^2 = 10.26$ , p < 0.001), in patients aged 75–79 years (HR=1.45, 95% CI 1.38–1.52,  $\chi^2 = 242.14$ , p < 0.001), and in patients older than 80 years (HR=2.65, 95% CI 2.54–2.77,  $\chi^2 = 2078.33$ , p < 0.001) compared with patients aged 65–69 years. Other significant risk factors are summarized in Table 1.

# Discussion

The estimated 1 year mortality rate of 26.8% after head/ neck fracture, 28.2% after intertrochanteric fracture, and 24.2% after subtrochanteric fracture is consistent with the literature, with others reporting rates of 21.2%, 23.0%, 20.7%, and 18.8%, respectively, for proximal femur fractures in the elderly [2-5]. Male sex, age over 70 years, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cerebrovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, a concomitant fracture, congestive heart failure, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, insulin use, ischemic heart disease, morbid obesity, osteoporosis, tobacco dependence, and median household income were determined as risk factors for increased mortality. Other investigations confirmed these results and also reported a higher mortality risk associated with a low Parker mobility score (OR = 2.94, 95% CI 1.31–6.57, p=0.01), a Charlson-Comorbidity score of 4 or greater (OR=2.15, 95% CI 1.30–3.55, p=0.002) [2], as well as in patients affected by more than two comorbidities (respectively  $OR_{30 \text{ day}} = 2.003$ ,  $OR_{6 \text{ month}} = 1.8654$ , and OR<sub>1 year</sub> = 1.5965) [5]. In a meta-analysis of 18 cohort studies published by Liu et al. (2018), the majority of identified risk factors were verified, while in this analysis



Fig. 1 Survival of patients after proximal femur fracture in comparison with other Medicare enrollees without femur fracture

**Table 1** Multivariate analysis of mortality risk factors afterproximal femur fractures

Factor	HR	Lower HR	Upper HR	Chi-square	p-Value
Age 70–74 years	1.08	1.03	1.14	10.26	0.001
Age 75–79 years	1.45	1.38	1.52	242.14	<.001
Age 80+ years	2.65	2.54	2.77	2078.33	<.001
Female sex	0.73	0.72	0.74	1059.43	<.001
Anticoagulant use	0.99	0.96	1.02	0.37	0.542
COPD	1.45	1.42	1.48	1074.94	<.001
Cerebrovascular disease	1.18	1.15	1.21	198.53	<.001
Chronic kidney disease	1.29	1.26	1.33	397.18	<.001
Concomitant fracture	1.05	1.03	1.08	15.22	<.001
Congestive heart failure	1.54	1.51	1.58	1294.55	<.001
Diabetes mellitus	1.05	1.03	1.07	19.93	<.001
Fall-related fracture	0.99	0.97	1.00	1.94	0.164
Hypertensive disease	0.94	0.92	0.96	39.07	<.001
Insulin use	1.22	1.12	1.34	19.98	<.001
lschemic heart disease	1.03	1.01	1.05	7.63	0.006
Morbid obesity	0.84	0.74	0.96	6.91	0.009
Open fracture	1.00	0.94	1.05	0.03	0.869
Osteoporosis	0.84	0.82	0.86	161.93	<.001
Rheumatoid disease	1.01	0.96	1.07	0.28	0.594
Tobacco depend- ence	1.14	1.08	1.22	18.15	<.001
College degree (%)	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.82	0.364
Poverty (%)	1.00	0.99	1.00	3.16	0.076
Unemployed (%)	0.99	0.98	0.99	14.62	<.001
Median income	0.99	0.98	0.99	22.43	<.001

HR hazard ratio

male sex (HR 1.91, p < 0.001) rather than female sex was reported as an influencing factor. Surprisingly, the metaanalysis did not show an increased mortality risk for diabetes mellitus (HR 1.15, p < 0.121) or nicotine consumption (HR 1.54, p < 0.337) [6].

# Conclusion

In this case–control study, a high mortality for proximal femur fractures was apparent in the elderly US population. An early assessment of individual risk factors accessible for therapeutic treatment is crucial in the management of proximal femur fractures to aid in attempts at reducing mortality.

Acknowledgements None.

### Author contributions

All authors conceptualized and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. None.

### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

# Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study is based on data from the Medicare Physician Service Records Data Base. These records encompassed diagnoses and treatments rendered in medical offices, outpatient clinics, hospitals, emergency departments, skilled nursing home, and other healthcare facilities. They were compiled by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), and after de-identification were made available for researcher, known as the Limited Data Set (LDS). Since the CMS data is deidentified, IRB approval was waived by the ethic committee of the University Hospital Regensburg. This work was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

## Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 15 May 2023 Accepted: 6 June 2023 Published online: 26 June 2023

### References

- Gullberg B, Johnell O, Kanis JA (1997) World-wide projections for hip fracture. Osteoporos Int 7:407–413. https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00004148
- Schnell S, Friedman SM, Mendelson DA et al (2010) The 1-year mortality of patients treated in a hip fracture program for elders. Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil 1:6–14. https://doi.org/10.1177/2151458510378105
- Brauer CA, Coca-Perraillon M, Cutler DM et al (2009) Incidence and mortality of hip fractures in the United States. JAMA 302:1573–1579. https:// doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.1462
- Meessen JMTA, Pisani S, Gambino ML et al (2014) Assessment of mortality risk in elderly patients after proximal femoral fracture. Orthopedics 37:e194-200. https://doi.org/10.3928/01477447-20140124-25
- Rosso F, Dettoni F, Bonasia DE et al (2016) Prognostic factors for mortality after hip fracture: operation within 48 hours is mandatory. Injury 47(Suppl 4):S91–S97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2016.07.055
- Liu Y, Wang Z, Xiao W (2018) Risk factors for mortality in elderly patients with hip fractures: a meta-analysis of 18 studies. Aging Clin Exp Res 30:323–330. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-017-0789-5

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.